

Sample Findings & Conclusions Library

How the same facts read differently across engagement types

The examples below aren't from the same scenario — they're drawn from a few different operational areas so you can see the pattern that repeats: audit findings are specific and evidence-anchored, assessment conclusions are diagnostic and prioritized, and review conclusions are observational and bounded.

Cage & Vault

- **AUDIT FINDING:** *Required independent verification was not documented for 5 of 25 reconciliations tested.*
- **ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION:** *The operation is heavily dependent on manual processes and one experienced supervisor, creating elevated error and continuity risk.*
- **REVIEW CONCLUSION:** *Unresolved variances have increased, and evidence of supervisory follow-up is inconsistent; additional testing may be warranted.*

Table Games Drop & Count

- **AUDIT FINDING:** *Dual-count verification signatures were missing on 3 of 20 count sheets reviewed for the current quarter.*
- **ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION:** *Count team composition varies significantly by shift, with weekend counts frequently relying on staff who have not completed formal count training.*
- **REVIEW CONCLUSION:** *Drop variances for the past two months are modestly higher than the prior six-month average; no unusual pattern was identified in the periods reviewed.*

Surveillance & Access Controls

- **AUDIT FINDING:** *Camera coverage logs showed 2 instances in the test period where required coverage of the cage door was not maintained for the full shift.*
- **ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION:** *Surveillance staffing during overnight shifts is thin relative to the number of monitored areas, increasing the risk that incidents go unreviewed in real time.*
- **REVIEW CONCLUSION:** *Access logs for the reviewed period appear complete and consistent with expected shift patterns; no follow-up indicated at this time.*

What to Notice

Across all three areas, the same shape repeats:

- **Audit findings** cite a specific number tested and a specific number of exceptions.
- **Assessment conclusions** describe a condition and the risk it creates, without a tested exception rate.
- **Review conclusions** describe what was observed and explicitly note whether further work is or isn't indicated.